UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 Leonard R. Woods, Case No.: 2:23-cv-00233-JAD-VCF Petitioner 4 Order **Denying Application to Proceed** 5 in Forma Pauperis, v. **Granting Motion for Appointment of** Counsel, and Screening and Serving 6 Brian Williams, et al., **Habeas Petition** 7 Respondents [ECF Nos. 1, 1-1, 1-2] 8 9 Leonard R. Woods is incarcerated at Nevada's High Desert State Prison and brings this petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 to challenge his 2019 state-court conviction. Woods applies to proceed in forma pauperis and moves for court-appointed $12 \| \text{counsel.}^2$ 13|| **I**. Woods does not qualify for IFP status. 14 Based on the information provided in the application to proceed in forma pauperis, I find 15 that Woods is able to pay the \$5 filing fee for this action, so I deny his *in forma pauperis* 16 application. However, I will not require Woods to pay the filing fee or file a new in forma 17 pauperis application until after counsel appears for him. 18|| II. Appointment of counsel is warranted. 19 State prisoners applying for habeas corpus relief are not entitled to appointed counsel unless the circumstances indicate that appointed counsel is necessary to prevent a due-process 21 22 ¹ ECF No. 1. 23 ² ECF No. 1-2.

14

15

21

violation.³ But the court may appoint counsel at any stage of the proceedings if the interests of justice so require.⁴ Woods's petition indicates that he is serving a sentence of life in prison without the possibility of parole, and it appears that he will not be able to adequately litigate this action without counsel. I therefore find that appointing counsel for him is in the interests of justice. So I grant Woods's motion for appointment of counsel and appoint the Federal Public Defender for the District of Nevada (FPD) to represent him.

III. The court directs service of the petition.

I have examined Woods's petition for writ of habeas corpus as mandated by Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, and I determine that it merits service upon the respondents. So I order the petition served upon the respondents and direct the respondents to appear, but I do not require any further action on their part at this time.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that **Petitioner's Application to Proceed** *in Forma* **Pauperis** [ECF No. 1] is denied. However, the requirement that petitioner pay the filing fee is suspended until further notice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Counsel [ECF No. 1-2] is granted, and the Federal Public Defender for the District of Nevada (FPD) is appointed to represent the petitioner. If the FPD is unable to represent the petitioner, because of a conflict of interest or for any other reason, alternate counsel will be appointed. In either case, counsel will represent the petitioner in all federal court proceedings relating to this matter, unless allowed to withdraw. The FPD will have 30 days from the date of this order to

³ Chaney v. Lewis, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986) (citing Kreiling v. Field, 431 F.2d 638, 640 (9th Cir. 1970) (per curiam).

⁴ See 18 U.S.C. § 3006A; see also Rule 8(c), Rules Governing § 2254 Cases; Chaney, 801 F.2d at 1196.

file a notice of appearance, or to indicate to the court its inability to represent the petitioner in 2 this case. 3 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 14|| this time. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to: **SEPARATELY FILE** the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [ECF No. 1-1] and the Motion for Appointment of Counsel [ECF No. 1-2]; **ELECTRONICALLY SERVE** upon the FPD a copy of this order and a copy of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [ECF No. 1-1]; and **ADD** Aaron Ford, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, as counsel for Respondents and provide Respondents an electronic copy of all items previously filed in this case by regenerating the Notice of Electronic Filing to the office of the Attorney General only. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents will have 30 days from the date of this order to appear in this action, but they will not be required to respond to the habeas petition at U.S. District Judge Jennife February 15, 2023